

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,200	07/23/2003	Shlomo Raz	AMS-155	1238
43554 FAEGRE & Bl	7590 07/25/200 ENSON	7	EXAMINER	
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IS, MN 55402-3901		3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			ŧ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
,	10/625,200	RAZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pr Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frostatute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on €	02 May 2007.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,19,21,22 and 24-27</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,19,21,22 and 24-27</u> is/are rejection of the company o	ndrawn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applica priority documents have been recei ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/625,200 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5-2-07 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 17,19,21,22,24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "cylindrical body", present in each independent claim, is not described in the specification. Furthermore, the system of claim 27 is not disclosed in the specification for anchoring the sling to internal soft tissue, ligaments, fascia. The specification only disclose perineal incision methods which include attachment to the pubic bone.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,200 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Specification

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the newly added limitations in claim 17 (line 5), claim 24 (line 4), and claim 27 (line 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 17, 19, 21, 22, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gellman et al. US PN 6,042,534 in view of Borzone et al. USPN 5814070 or Stone et al. USPN 6139565.

Gellman et al. discloses in figures 2c and 14 a system for inserting a sling comprising a rectangular sling 10, suture 64, sling anchors 62 having a cylindrical body with perpendicular bore therethrough, and inserter. See anchors in figure 11 as well as figure 18 of USPN 5766221 (incorporated by reference at lines 24-28 of col. 16). The inserter and anchors are described at lines 20-43 of col. 16. Although the sling anchors are not described to anchor the sling to internal soft tissues, ligaments, or fascia, the structure and shape of the anchors meet the claimed structural limitations and are capable of anchoring the sling to internal soft tissues, ligaments, or fascia. Note the

that do not structurally limit the device.

limitations of claim 17 regarding requiring an incision or not are intended use recitations

Page 4

Gellman et al. lack the express disclosure of the bore extending through the cylindrical body of the anchor. However, each of Stone et al. (figures 12A-12C) and Borzone et al. (Figures 2-6) teach placing a perpendicular bore through the cylindrical body of an anchor in order to attach sutures. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the anchor bore disclosed by Gellman et al. by placing the bore through the cylindrical body in order to attach suture therethrough.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/625,200 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
HNOLOGY CENTER 3700